

Carshalton College Supplementary Planning Guidance

Consultation Statement (Regulation 17)

London Borough of Sutton
Strategic Planning
Planning and Transportation
Environment and Leisure
May 2012

Introduction

Sutton's draft Carshalton College Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) sets out the Council's guidance for future development of the site including suggested uses and building set backs. The Council recognises the importance of working in partnership with the local community in planning for the future development of the Borough. This statement is a record of the consultation undertaken during the pre-production stage of the SPD, according to Regulation¹ 17(1)(b) and prepared for the purposes of consultation on the production stage draft SPD. The Regulations and Sutton's approved Statement of Community Involvement make no specific requirement for pre-production consultation when producing a SPD. However, the Regulations require the preparation of a consultation statement setting out if consultation was undertaken, who and how consultation occurred, a summary of any issues raised and how these have informed the production of the draft SPD.

Consultation

This section sets out details of consultation conducted during the pre-production stage of the draft SPD and has been used to inform the production of the draft SPD.

Specifically, in accordance with section 17(1)(b) of the Regulation, this section demonstrates

- (i) the names of any persons consulted in connection with the preparation of the SPD,
- (ii) how these persons were consulted,
- (iii) a summary of the main issues raised in those consultations,
- (iv) how those issues have been addressed in the SPD.

Through the course of site investigation, Council Officers noticed the presence of electronic and other apparatus operated by service companies. It was considered prudent to ascertain which companies and which services were located on the site, and this resulted in the following consultations.

¹ The Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2004

Company consulted and person (where applicable)	How we consulted them	Summary of Issues Raised	How we addressed these issues in the draft SPD
Atkins Global acting on behalf of Cable and Wireless Ms Romika Kanekar and Mr Alex Sage.	Email dated 20 April 2011, responses received 4 May 2011	Atkins Global responded that assets belonging to Cable and Wireless were within the vicinity of the site	This was mapped and informed the constraints for the site.
Instalcom acting on behalf of Global Crossing (UK) Ltd, Global Crossing PEC and Fibernet UK Ltd	Email dated 20 April 2011, response received 20 April 2011	Instalcom responded that Global Crossing (UK) Ltd, Global Crossing PEC and Fibernet UK Ltd did not have apparatus within the immediate proximity of the site	No action required.
McNicholas acting on behalf of COLT, TATA, KPN, Logica CMG, Chelsea Building Society, SOTA	Letter Dated 20 April 2011, response received 6 May 2011	McNicholas responded that COLT did not have any apparatus within the immediate proximity of the site.	No action required.
Vtesse Networks Ms Amanda Pearson	Email dated 20 April 2011, response received 27 April 2011	Vtesse Networks responded that they did not have any plant in the area of enquiry.	No action required.
Allcom on behalf of Level 3 Communications	Email dated 20 April 2011, response received 20 April 2011.	Allcom advised that Level 3 Communications have no plant in the vicinity.	No action required.
ES Pipelines, on behalf of ESP Gas Group	Email dated 20 April 2011, response received 21 April 2011	ES Pipelines advised that ESP Gas Group had no gas or electricity apparatus in the vicinity of the site.	No action required.
Knapp Hicks and Partners Ltd on behalf of Telefonica	Email dated 20 April 2011,	Knapp Hicks and Partners Ltd	No action required.

Company consulted and person (where applicable)	How we consulted them	Summary of Issues Raised	How we addressed these issues in the draft SPD
O2 UK Limited, Mr Toby Eyvindsson	response received 22 April 2011	advised that O2 do not have any base stations within the site.	
Easynet Telecom / Sky Network Services Ms Tracey White	Email dated 20 April 2011, response received 22 April 2011.	Easynet Telecom / Sky Network Services advised that they have no facilities on the site.	No action required.
National Grid Plant Protection	Online enquiry dated 20 April 2011, response received 20 April 2011.	National Grid advised that they have no apparatus on the site.	No action required.
Verizon	Letter dated 20 April 2011, response received 28 April 2011	Verizon advised that they had no apparatus on the site.	No action required.
Linesearch, on behalf Esso Petroleum Co Ltd, Mainline Pipelines Ltd, Government Pipelines and Storage System, Manchester Jetline Ltd, BPA, ConocoPhillips (UK) Ltd, Total UK, INEOS Manufacturing, Shell UK Ltd, Sabic UK Petrochemicals, Geo Networks Ltd, E-on UK Plc, BP Exploration PSP, Scottish Power Generation Ltd, ConocoPhillips Ltd Humber Refinery, NPower CHP Pipelines, Centrica Energy, Premier Transmission Ltd, Coryton Energy Co Ltd, National Grid, Marchwood Power Limited, Wingas Storage UK Ltd, FibreSpeed Limited, BOC Limited, Oikos Storage Limited, Ineos Enterprises Limited, Star Energy	Online enquiry dated 20 April 2011, response received 20 April 2011.	Linesearch advised that their clients had no assets on this site.	No action required.
BT Openreach	Letter dated 20	Map response	This was

Company consulted and person (where applicable)	How we consulted them	Summary of Issues Raised	How we addressed these issues in the draft SPD
	April 2011	showed some plant on or near the site.	mapped and informed the constraints for the site.
Southern Gas Networks Ms Lesley Phillips	Letter dated 20 April 2011, response received 28 April 2011	Advised the presence of Low/Medium/Intermediate Pressure gas main on the site.	This was mapped and informed the constraints for the site.
Virgin Media	Letter dated 20 April 2011	Map response showed some plant on the site.	This was mapped and informed the constraints for the site.
Sutton and East Surrey Water	Letter dated 20 April 2011, response received 29 April 2011	Response provided map illustrating approximate positions of water mains.	This was mapped and informed the constraints for the site.
EDF Energy	Letter dated 20 April 2011	Map response illustrated EDF cables across the site.	This was mapped and informed the constraints for the site.
Thames Water Utilities	Letter dated 20 April 2011	Map response illustrated the location of Foul Sewer and Surface Sewer infrastructure across the site.	This was mapped and informed the constraints for the site.